

2003-47

Jay Bedortha" <jbedortha@gpwlaw.com> 5/15/2006 9:37:41 AM >>>

Dear Mr. Davis: Kindly post the attached document on the Supreme Court's web site for the above captioned Proposed Order.

Thank you and please let me know if you encounter any difficulties opening or posting the document.

Regards,

Jay Bedortha



Report on Public Policy Position

Contact Person:

Ronald S. Longhofer

Email:

longhoferr@yahoo.com

Proposed Court Rule or Administrative Order Number:

ADM File No. 2003-47

In Re Petition for Administrative Order or Court Rule Establishing Inactive Asbestos Docketing System

Position Recommended:

Oppose

Date position was adopted:

12-13-03

Process by which position was taken, and vote by which position was adopted:

Majority vote

Arguments for the position:

- a. The subject matter of the proposal is a legislative function.
- b. The Committee generally opposes provisions that establish different procedures for sub-classes of actions or only certain categories of litigants, absent a substantial showing to support disparate treatment. Such a showing had not been made in this instance.

Arguments against the position (if any):

None reported.

If the State Bar currently has a position on this subject matter, state the position, and an analysis of whether the recommended position and the current State Bar position are in conflict.

As of January 16, 2004, the State Bar of Michigan does not have a position on this matter but authorized the Civil Procedure and Courts to advocate.

Fiscal implications of the recommended policy to the State Bar of Michigan:

None reported.

Copy and paste the text of any legislation, court rule, or administrative regulation that is the subject of or referenced in this recommendation.

<http://courts.michigan.gov/supremecourt/Resources/Administrative/2003-47.pdf>